

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 10/748,683 | 12/31/2003 | William Arthur Stewart Buxton | 1252.1077 1974 EXAMINER AMINI, JAVID A | |
| 21171 75 | 90 09/26/2006 | | | |
| STAAS & HA | LSEY LLP | | | |
| SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | • | 2628 | |
| | | · . | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/748,683 | BUXTON, WILLIAM ARTHUR STEWART | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Javid A. Amini | 2628 | | | |
| Period fo | - The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju | ly 2006. | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 10) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) <u></u> a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Applicatity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/2006. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | |

Art Unit: 2628

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The independent claim 1 recites the limitation "the method" in line 2, of the claim 1.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buxton et al. 6,115,025, hereinafter refer as Buxton.

1. Claim 1,

Application/Control Number: 10/748,683 Page 3

Art Unit: 2628

As per claim 1, "A graphical user interface displayed on a display and comprising a first part and a second part, the method comprising:" The preamble of the claim defines broadly a graphical user interface, Buxton in figs. 3a and 3b clearly illustrates two parts 34 and 30.

The second part of the claim defines: "the first part element is automatically reoriented relative to the display in accordance with a change to orientation/location information;" Buxton in fig. 3b illustrates the claim limitations. The following step of the claim invention "allowing the second interface part to remain in a same orientation relative to the display regardless of the change to the orientation/location information." Discloses by Buxton in fig. 3 and see col. 4 lines 26-55.

2. Claim 2,

A method according to claim 2, wherein the first part is a first user interface element and the second part is a second user interface element. Buxton at col. 4, line 30 teaches the claim limitations.

3. Claim 3,

A method according to claim 2, wherein a user explicitly determines the change to the orientation/location information. Buxton in fig. 6 step 86 teaches the claim limitation.

4. Claim 4,

A method according to claim 3, wherein the explicit determination comprises the user interactively inputting information that indicates an orientation. Buxton in fig. 6 step 86 teaches the claim limitation.

5. Claim 5,

Application/Control Number: 10/748,683

Art Unit: 2628

A method according to claim 2, wherein the change to the orientation/location information is determined automatically based on a spatial orientation/location change relative to the display. The rejection of this claim is similar to the rejection of claim 1.

6. Claim 6,

A method according to claim 5, wherein the automatic determination comprises at least one of sensing the orientation of an input device, sensing the orientation/location of a user, automatically identifying an identify of a user. Buxton in fig. 6 step 88, and in fig. 7 steps 112, 120 teaches the claim limitations.

7. Claims 7-12,

In view of following claims' limitations, it is not necessary to repeat, the rejection of claims 1-6 applies to rejection of claims 7-12.

8. Claims 13-23,

Claim 13 recites "a user interface used by a plurality of users," and the next part of the claim recites, "determining which one of the users is interacting with the user interface". Buxton at col. 3, lines 4-5 explicitly specify the claim limitation. In view of following claims' limitations, it is not necessary to repeat the teachings of the reference; therefore, the rejection of claims 1-6 applies to rejection of claims 13-23.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,683 Page 5

Art Unit: 2628

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javid A. Amini whose telephone number is 571-272-7654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on 571-272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit 2628

J.A.

JA

KEE M. TUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER